

# South Central Coast Louisiana



Appendix L – Wet Floodproofing Methodology Refinement

May 2022

 .

# CONTENTS

Section	on 1	1
Backgro	ound Information	1
1.1	INTRODUCTION	1
Section	on 2	4
Methodo	lology and Application	4
2.1	METHODOLOGY	4
2.2	APPLICATION – PHYSICAL SURVEYS & ASSESSMENTS	4
2.3	APPLICATION – EXPLORATORY MITIGATION STRATEGIES	18
2.4	APPLICATION – SITE SPECIFIC MITIGATION STRATEGIES	22
2.5	APPLICATION – COST ESTIMATE	24
Study Im	mpacts Error! Bookmark not	defined.
2.6 <b>defin</b> e	IMPACT OF INCORPORATING WET FLOODPROOFING ON RESIDUAL RISKError! I ned.	Bookmark not
2.7 <b>Book</b> i	IMPACT OF INCORPORATING WET FLOODPROOFING ON FLOODPLAIN MANAGE kmark not defined.	MENTError!
Section	on 3	26
Wet Floo	podproofing Contacts	27

# LIST OF TABLES

Table L:2-1. Screening of Wet Floodproofing Methods - Structural.         18
Table L:2-2. Screening of Wet Floodproofing Methods- Contents
Table L:2-4. Screening of Wet Floodproofing Methods- Flood water conveyance
Table L:2-5. Screening of Wet Floodproofing Methods- Interior Office         21
Table L:2-6. Itemized Wet Floodproofing Cost Estimate         24
Table L:2-7. General Warehouse Wet Floodproofing Cost per Square Footage based on FY21 Cost Estimate
Table L:2-8. Fabrication Warehouse Wet Floodproofing Cost per Square Foot       26
FY21 Cost Estimate
Table L:1-1. Content Damage by Frequency and Construction Category (\$1,000's) Recommended Plan Condition (2025)         Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table L:1-2. Content Damage Reduced (%) by Frequency and Construction Category Recommended Plan Condition         (2025)         Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table L:1-3. Content Damage by Frequency and Construction Category (\$1,000's) Recommended Plan With 6 Ft of Industrial Content Mitigation (2025)         Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table L:1-4. Content Damage Reduced (%) by Frequency and Construction Category Recommended Plan With 6 Findustrial Content Mitigation (2025)

Table L:3-1. Comparison of Impacts of Incorporating Wet Floodproofing on Net BenefitsBookmarknotdefined.

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure L:1-1. Port of Iberia Recommended NED Plan Nonstructural Mitigation	3
Figure L:2-1. Example of Significant Electrical Infrastructure Requiring Elevation	22

### Section 1

### **Background Information**

#### 1.1 INTRODUCTION

The following information includes wet floodproofing methods not contained in the federal recommended plan but provided to as a service to other state and local entities to inform potential additional actions to further reduce damages associated with flooding events.

This appendix presents documentation of how the wet floodproofing costs were developed to inform mitigation of industrial warehouse structures. The development of wet floodproofing costs began in June of 2020 when the SCCL USACE reached out to the Association of State Floodplain Management (ASFPM)'s flood mitigation committee and the Flood Mitigation Industry Association (FMIA), a non-for profit, which became the foundational source of information used to develop wet floodproofing costs. Both organizations provided their services without cost to the federal government and USACE appreciates their support to this study and future efforts utilizing the wet floodproofing costs developed.

#### **1.2 PURPOSE OF FLOODPROOFING REFINEMENT**

During a flood event, unequal rates of rise and fall of water height on the inside and outside of a structure cause hydrostatic and hydrodynamic forces on the foundation wall Figure 4-1. During a flood event, unequal rates of rise and fall of water height on the inside and outside of a structure cause hydrostatic and hydrodynamic forces on the foundation wall as shown in Figure 4-1. For the average steel frame / steel corrugated siding warehouse structure within the study area, dry floodproofing presents numerous technical challenges and is not recommended without accounting for the structural vulnerabilities. The steel framing used in these industrial warehouse structures is not designed to withstand hydrostatic loading. In the event, an unreinforced steel frame warehouse becomes loaded, a partial structural collapse could occur in addition to water seeping through the steel frame into the interior of the building. The industrial warehouses are designed using continuous or floating slab concrete floors, meaning dry floodproofing could lead to uplift in the building or leakage through floor joints. The steel frame warehouse structures were not constructed to be watertight buildings or withstand hydraulic pressures, and would require, in some cases, significant external alterations. Therefore, dry floodproofing industrial structure types were determined to not be feasible for broad implementation due to the fact that site-by-site it would be either not technically feasible at some sites or it would be cost prohibitive at others due to the need for substantial external improvements.

USACE determined the first step, to determine if other floodproofing methods could be technically feasible on industrial structures. USACE team reviewed locations of high industrial areas within the SCCL study area such as the Port of Iberia, Port of Morgan City, and Port of West St. Mary and other highly industrial areas. Existing industrial complexes and structure layouts were utilized to assess wet floodproofing methods effectiveness.

The USACE team evaluated wet floodproofing effectiveness by first identifying various wet floodproofing activities, then screening wet floodproofing activities based on applicability to industrial structures surveyed and lastly developing cost estimates for remaining activities.

The USACE team recalculated damages reduced and benefits achieved, Section 4.4 in the main report describes the economic evaluation that includes wet floodproofing of the structure and it's contents. USACE assumed-wet floodproofing of warehouse structures could mitigate up to 12 feet of flooding to the structure envelope, and 6 feet to the structure's contents.

Damages increased significantly were due to the addition of wave action to existing stillwater flood elevations that were added and refined to the hydraulic model post-TSP milestone. Wave action increased flood depths to above 3 feet during frequent flood events in high commercial/industrial areas, reducing the effectiveness and associated benefits of dry floodproofing.

USACE team reviewed locations of high commercial/industrial areas within the SCCL study area. Commercial and industrial locations within the project area are often tied to oil and gas industry and support services. Commercial and industrial locations, within the study area, are often located in or near port facilities and are exempt from traditional floodplain regulations given a "functional dependence" under CFR 59.1. FEMA and the NFIP local ordinance requires a variance be provided for wet floodproofing. Structures that are functionally dependent on close proximity to water

"must be located near water are functionally dependent uses, as defined by section 59.1, and are permitted to be wet floodproofed after the issuance of a variance from NFIP elevation and dry floodproofing requirements. Structures may include certain types of docking, seafood, processing, and port facilities associated with marine activities. Variance criteria may include the structure be protected by methods that minimize flood damage and create no additional threat to public safety." <u>https://www.fema.gov/sites/default/files/2020-07/tb\_7\_wet\_floodproofing\_requirements-1993.pdf</u>

During PED, final designs for each structure should be coordinated with local floodplain managers to ensure compliance with local floodplain laws and ordinances. Development of costs presented within this appendix utilized the following National Flood Insurance Technical Bulletins to inform structure design criteria:

- Technical Bulletin #2- Flood Damage Resistant Materials, August 1, 2008,
- Technical Bulletin #3- Non-Residential Floodproofing-Requirements and Certification April 1, 1993,
- Technical Bulletin #7- Wet Floodproofing Requirements, December 1, 1993.

The Port of Iberia was identified as a representative location, a highly industrial area with commercial structures often tied to oil and gas industry. Structures within the Port of Iberia were selected as a representative structural architypes for refinement of assessed wet floodproofing methods. A template for the 185 structures identified within the Port of Iberia complex were utilized to assess the effectiveness of wet floodproofing warehouse relative to dry floodproofing. Figure L:1-1 shows locations and structural classification diversification of the Port of Iberia, residential structures were elevated, commercial structures were dry



floodproofed, and industrial warehouse structures were identified as targets for potential wet floodproofing.

Figure L:1-1. Port of Iberia Recommended NED Plan Nonstructural Mitigation

### Section 2

# Methodology and Application

#### 2.1 METHODOLOGY

The methodology to develop costs for wet floodproofing included the following:

- 1. Identify 5 or more warehouse structures within the Port of Iberia that vary in size, purpose, and occupancy status (vacant/operational)
- 2. Perform a physical survey of the warehouse structures that agree to be included within the study
- 3. Develop detailed wet floodproofing assessments for each of the structures surveyed that includes existing occupancy, condition, construction, configuration, and level of flood exposure
- 4. Identify wet floodproofing mitigation strategies for each of the structures surveyed
- 5. Develop cost estimates based on the mitigation strategies for each of the structures surveyed

The Port of Iberia assigned the Port's Architect to coordinate with the USACE to help in the identification and physical surveying process. The performance of the physical surveys were conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic, and as a result, travel was restricted for all USACE members, and therefore the physical survey was led by the Port of Iberia and the Flood Mitigation Industry Association and supported with pictures as documentation.

#### 2.2 APPLICATION – PHYSICAL SURVEYS & ASSESSMENTS

The Port of Iberia owns approximately 70 percent of the buildings within the port footprint, and rents out the buildings to tenants. The other 30 percent of the buildings within the port are privately owned. In July of 2020, the Flood Mitigation Industry Association and the Port of Iberia surveyed six port buildings, two of which were currently occupied, and the other four were vacant and owned by the Port to be leased to tenants. The structural assessment sheets completed for each of the six surveyed structure are included below. Iberia Parish has a 1 foot freeboard requirement, all references to elevation, not designated Base Flood Elevation (BFE), are notated as Design Flood Elevation (DFE) and include the local ordin ance requirement. During implementation each structure would be assessed individually the general work process for wet-floodproofing installation is:

- 1. Complete program application.
- 2. Government obtains design build contract and works with approved contractors to develop Guide Plans and Individual Structure Specifications, and Estimates for phased increments.
- 3. Individual Site Specifications are approved.
- 4. Contractor obtains all necessary permits and Mobilize to site.
- 5. Electrical Work
- 6. Install elevated storage racks
- 7. Wet floodproofing

- 8. Protective coatings
   9. Install flood vents
- 10. Install crane to raise contents
- 11. Install an elevated office.

STRUCTURE ASSESSMENT SHEET				
Structure ID	Structure Address			
#1	1216 Unifab Rd Bldg B			
	Structure	Photographs		
	Erant	Page		
	Front	Kear		
	Structure	Characteristics		
Characteristic		Description		
Occupancy -	Commercial – service and 1	repair, being converted to storage.		
Configuration -	One story with one wing 12	25,000 sq ft and the other 40,000 sq ft.		
Construction -	Concrete slab foundation. S	steel framing with steel siding and roof.		
Condition -	Good			
Other -	The east west main section which is scheduled to be clo walls	of the building has a large opening at one end, osed in. There are no flood vents in the building		
	Site Visit	Observations		
General: The large site features the large 90° high main section and 60° high north south oriented building. A relatively smaller, second wing of the building is oriented north/south. The site is relatively flat and has 2 slips. The building is not occupied at this time, however a lease has been finalized. There are several other buildings on the site				
Site: The structure is situated on an industrial port site and free standing on the property. The area around the structure is limestone. The grade at the front of the structure slopes slightly downward toward the access road. The grade at the sides of the structure slopes down from the building. The grade at the rear slopes down away from the structure.				
<b>Structure:</b> The building needs flood vents in order to be wet flood proofed. The former administration office spaces are going to be converted to conditioned storage. Some minor repairs are needed to the exterior steel siding. The building has a new tenant and is scheduled to have the open end closed in and a large door installed.				
Systems/Utilities: DFE. Electric serv	Systems and utilities are locat vice needs relocation to DFE. To	ed below the DFE. Air conditioners need elevation to oilet line needs back flow preventer.		

STRUCTURE DATA SHEET (CONTINUED)						
Structure ID #1			Structur 1216 Unifa	e Address b Rd Bldg B		
		Structure	e and Flood E	levations		
FF 5.6 ft	LAG 5ft	B n/a	BFE AE-11	Δ BFE-FF 6.6ft	Δ BFE-LAG 6ft	ΔBFE-B n/a
ABBREV	/IATIONS: F B – Basemen BFE – Bas	F – First Floor t Floor Elevat se Flood Eleva NA – Not	r Elevation; L. ion; CS – Cravition; $\Delta$ – Delt Applicable; *	AG – Low Ad wl Space Grou a (Elevation D - Estimated	jacent Grade I md Elevation; Difference);	Elevation;
			Flood Risk			
Flood Risk: The structure substantial d damage due	The first floor s construction amage in a floor to letting the v	r is approxima on, finishes, sy ood event. If w water in and ou	ately 6.6ft feet stems, utilities ret flood proof ut of the interi	below the bas s, storage and ed, the buildir or.	se flood elevat contents woul ng would be su	ion (BFE). d incur ıbject to less
		R	ecommendatio	on		
<ul> <li>Based on the structure characteristics, site visit observations, structure / flood elevation data and the flood risk, the following mitigations are recommended: <ol> <li>Relocate the building utilities / systems to upper level above the DFE if applicable.</li> <li>Elevate the exterior HVAC equipment onto platform(s), above the BFE.</li> <li>Remove water damagable construction material and finishes and replace with water resistant construction and fininshes.</li> <li>Wet flood proof the structure according to FEMA Technical Bulletin 7. Install engineered flood vents in the existing walls.</li> <li>Plan for evacuation of moveable equipment and structure contents prior to flood event when adequate warning is given.</li> <li>Evacuate the structure during a flood event to prevent loss of life.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>						
<ol> <li>Evacuate the structure during a flood event to prevent loss of file.</li> <li><u>Notes:</u> <ol> <li>The property owner indicated the structure experienced flooding on the first floor during past flood events. When a flood warning is given the property owner evacuates the structure contents and stores it off site. Interior finish materials need to be replaced with approved flood resistant construction materials</li> <li>Loose equipment, containers and debris on the site will easily float away during a flood event, being lost or causing environmental hazard.</li> </ol> </li> <li><u>Note:</u> The building qualifies as "functionally dependent" under CFR 59.1. FEMA/NFIP local ordinance requires a variance be provided for this work.</li> </ol>						

away from the structure.

STRUCTURE ASSESSMENT SHEET				
Structure ID	Structure Address			
#2	1216	Unifab Rd Building E		
	Structure	Photographs		
	Front	Rear		
	Ct	01		
Characteristic	Structure	Description		
Characteristic		Description		
Occupancy -	Commercial storage.			
Configuration -	The building measures 200 elevated office space in the	X 200' = 40,000 sq ft and 20' high. There is an rear approximately 8ft above grade.		
Construction -	Concrete slab foundation. S	teel interior frame, steel siding and roof.		
Condition -	Good. The building has a few loose steel panels. The roof above the office spaces is relatively new.			
Other -	Structure sited above the level of the access road. There are multiple door openings in the sides of the building. There are no flood vents in the walls.			
Course h T	Site Visit	discipations		
General: The s	ite is relatively flat. There is a	sup just north of the building.		
Site: Industrial port site. The structure is situated on an industrial port site and free standing on the property. Interior finish materials need to be replaced with approved flood resistant construction materials. The grade at the front of the structure slopes slightly downward toward the access road. The grade at the sides of the structure slopes down from the building. The grade at the rear slopes down				

Structure: The building needs flood vents in order to be wet flood proofed. Some minor repairs are needed to the exterior steel siding.

Systems/Utilities: Air conditioners for the upper level offices need to be elevated on stands to the DFE.

STRUCTURE DATA SHEET (CONTINUED)						
Structure ID #2		Structure Address 1216 Unifab Rd Building E				
		Structure	and FloodE	levations		
FF 5.6ft	LAG 5ft	B n/a	BFE AE-11	ΔBFE-FF 5.4ft	∆ BFE-LAG 6ft	ΔBFE-B n/a
ABBREV	IATIONS: F B – Basemen BFE – Bas	F – First Floor t Floor Elevati se Flood Eleva NA – Not .	r Elevation; L ion; CS – Crav ition; ∆ – Delt Applicable; *	AG – Low Ad vl Space Grou a (Elevation D - Estimated	jacent Grade I ind Elevation; Difference);	Elevation;
			Flood Risk			
Flood Risk: Structure's c finished floo	The first floor onstruction, fi r level (below	r is approxima nishes, system the BFE) wou	ttely 5.4 feet b ns, utilities, sto uld incur subst	elow the base prage and cont antial damage	flood elevatio tents/furnishin e.	m (BFE). gs at the
		R	ecommendatio	on		
<ul> <li>Based on the structure characteristics, site visit observations, structure / flood elevation data and the flood risk, the following mitigations are recommended: <ol> <li>Relocate the building utilities / systems / storage to upper level above BFE if applicable.</li> <li>Elevate the exterior HVAC equipment onto platform or onto the roof, above the BFE.</li> <li>Remove water damagable construction material and finishes and replace with water resistant construction and fininshes.</li> <li>Wet flood proof the structure according to FEMA Technical Bulletin 7. Install engineered flood vents in the existing walls.</li> <li>Plan for evacuation of moveable equipment and structure contents prior to flood event when adequate warning is given.</li> <li>Evacuate the structure during a flood event to prevent loss of life.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>						
<ol> <li>Evacuate the structure during a flood event to prevent loss of life.</li> <li><u>Notes:</u> <ol> <li>The property owner indicated the structure experienced flooding on the first floor during past flood events and incurred extensive damages. When a flood warning is given the property owner evacuates the structure contents and stores it off site. Interior finish have been replace after previous flood event with easily removable water resistant construction in the showroom / office area. Some equipment is stored on mobile racks to facilitate evacuation.</li> <li>Loose equipment, containers and debris on the site will easily float away during a flood event, being lost or causing environmental hazard.</li> </ol> </li> <li><u>Note:</u> The building qualifies as "functionally dependent" under CFR 59.1. FEMA/NFIP local ordinance requires a variance be provided for this work.</li> </ol>						

STRUCTURE ASSESSMENT SHEET						
Structure ID #3	Structure Address 1216 Unifab Rd Building D					
	Structure Photographs					
	Front Rear					
	Structure Characteristics					
Characteristic	Description					
Occupancy -	Commercial – storage.					
Configuration -	The building is a rectangular one story, gable roof that measures $180$ ft X $60$ ft = 10,800 sq ft. One end of the building is open.					
Construction -	Concrete slab foundation. Roof and siding are steel.					
Condition -	The siding and roof appear to be in good condition.					
Other -	Structure sited above the level of the access road. There are 2 egress door openings in the sides of the building. There are no flood vents in the walls.					
	Site Visit Observations					
General: The st occupied and in	tructure was viewed from the exterior and interior. The structure was not good condition.					
Site: - Industrial port site. The structure is situated on an industrial port site and free standing on the property. The area around the structure is Limestone. The grade at the front of the structure slopes slightly downward toward the access road. The grade at the sides of the structure slopes down from the building. The grade at the rear slopes down away from the structure.						
Structure: The s slab on grade. The	structure is steel framed with a steel siding and roof. The first floor is a concrete he exterior walls and roof have steel siding.					
Systems/Utilitie	s: The utilities are located below BFE.					

STRUCTURE DATA SHEET (CONTINUED)						
Structure ID		Structure Address				
#3			1216 Unifab	Rd Building I	)	
	•			-		
FE	LAC	Structure	e and Flood E	levations	ADEELAC	A DEE D
5.60	50	л/а	AE-11	5 4ft	6ft	n/a
ADDDEN	UATIONS, E	E Einst Els s	- Elevetiens I		in a set Carda I	1
ADDREV	R - Recemen	r – riist rioo t Floor Flouat	ion: CS – Cra	AG – Low Ag	gacent Grade r	levation;
	BFE – Bas	e Flood Eleva	tion: $\Delta - Delt$	a (Elevation E	Difference):	
		NA – Not	Applicable; *	- Estimated		
			E1 1 D 1			
			Flood Risk			
Flood Risk:	The first floor	r is approxima	tely 5.4 feet b	elow the base	flood elevatio	n (BFE).
Structure's co	onstruction, fi	nishes, systen	ns, utilities, st	orage and com	tents/furnishin	gs at the
finished floor	r level (below	the BFE) wor	uld incur subs	tantial damage	<b>.</b>	
		R	ecommendati	on		
Based on the	structure char	acteristics, sit	e visit observa	ations, structur	e / flood eleva	tion data and
the flood risk	, the followin	g mitigations	are recommer	nded:		
1. Reloc	ate the buildi	ng utilities / sv	vstems above	BFE if applica	able.	
2. Eleva	te the exterior	r HVAC equip	oment onto pla	atform or onto	the roof, abov	e the BFE.
3. Remo	ove water dar	nagable const	ruction mater	ial and finish	es and replace	e with water
resist	ant construction	on and fininsh	les.			
4. Wet	flood proof	the structure	according to	o FEMA Teo	hnical Bullet	in 7. Install
5 Plan	for evacuation	of moveable	equipment at	nd structure co	ontents prior to	flood event
when	adequate war	ning is given.	equipinent a		fineins prior a	inter even
6. Evacı	<ol> <li>Evacuate the structure during a flood event to prevent loss of life.</li> </ol>					
Notes:						
7. The p	roperty owne	r indicated the	structure exp	erienced flood	ing on the first	floor during
past f	lood events a	nd incurred e	xtensive dama	ages. When a	flood warning	is given the
prope	property owner evacuates the structure contents and stores it off site. Interior finish have					
been	been replace after previous flood event with easily removable water resistant					
to fac	construction in the snowroom / office area. Some equipment is stored on mobile racks to facilitate evacuation					moone racks
8. Loose	8 Loose equipment containers and debris on the site will easily float away during a flood					uring a flood
event	, being lost or	causing envir	onmental haz	ard.	,	
Note: The bu	uilding qualifi	ies as "functio	nally depend	ent" under CF	R 59.1. FEMA	VNFIP local
ordinance rec	quires a variar	ice be provide	d for this wor	k.		

STRUCTURE ASSESSMENT SHEET						
Structure ID	Structure Address					
#4	5314 C.P	Voorhies Rd Building C				
	Structure Pl	hotographs				
	Front	Rear				
	Structure Ch	aracteristics				
Characteristic		Description				
Occupancy -	Commercial – storage.					
Configuration -	One story, concrete slab on gr	ade, gable end steel roof. 16,000 sq ft.				
Construction -	Masonry exterior walls with n	netal framed roof. Wood framed interior.				
Condition -	Good. Several steel siding par replaced with clear acrylic par	nels on the front of the building have been nels.				
Other -	Structure sited above the level openings in the sides of the bu	l of the access road. There are 2 egress door hilding. There are no flood vents in the walls.				
	Site Visit O	hservations				
General: The structure was viewed from the exterior. The structure was not occupied and in good condition.						
Site: Industrial port site. The structure is situated on an industrial port site and free standing on the property. The area around the structure is sand and gravel. The grade at the front of the structure slopes downward toward the access road. The grade at the sides of the structure slopes down from the building. The grade at the rear slopes down away from the structure.						
<ul><li>Structure: The gable end structure is steel framed with a steel siding and roof. The first floor is a concrete slab on grade. The exterior walls and roof have steel siding.</li><li>Systems/Utilities: The utilities and equipment is located at the rear and below DFE</li></ul>						

STRUCTURE DATA SHEET (CONTINUED)						
Structure ID	Structure Address					
#4		53	14 C.P Voorh	ies Rd Buildir	ng C	
		Structure	and Flood E	levations		
FF 7 <del>ft</del>	LAG 6.5ft	B n/a	BFE AE-11	Δ BFE-FF 4ft	Δ BFE-LAG 4 5ft	ΔBFE-B n/a
ABBREV	IATIONS: F B – Basemen BFE – Bas	F – First Floor t Floor Elevat se Flood Eleva NA – Not	r Elevation; L. ion; CS – Crav tion; Δ – Delt Applicable; *	AG – Low Ad wl Space Grou a (Elevation I - Estimated	ljacent Grade E ind Elevation; Difference);	Ilevation;
			Flood Risk			
Flood Risk: The structure the first-floor damage.	The first floor 's constructio elevation are	r is approxima n, finishes, sy a are substant	ttely 4ft feet b stems, utilitie ially below th	elow the base s, storage and e BFE and wi	flood elevation contents / furn 11 incur signific	n (BFE). iishings at cant
		R	ecommendati	on		
Recommendation           Based on the structure characteristics, site visit observations, structure / flood elevation data and the flood risk, the following mitigations are recommended:           1. Relocate the building utilities / systems / storage to above DFE.           2. Remove water damagable construction material and finishes and replace with water resistant construction and fininshes.           3. Wet flood proof the structure according to FEMA Technical Bulletin 7. Install engineered flood vents in the existing walls.           4. Plan for evacuation of moveable equipment and structure contents prior to flood event when adequate warning is given.           5. Evacuate the structure during a flood event to prevent loss of life. <b>Notes:</b> 6. The property owner indicated the structure experienced flooding on the first floor during past flood events and incurred extensive damages. When a flood warning is given the property owner evacuates the structure contents and stores it off site.           7. Loose equipment, containers and debris on the site will easily float away during a flood event, being lost or causing environmental hazard.						

OCCUPIED STRUCTURE ASSESSMENT SHEET					
Structure ID	ID Structure Address				
#5	3705 Earl B Wilson Dr. New Iberia LA				
	Structure I	Photographs			
	Front	Interior			
	Structure C	haracteristics			
Characteristic		Description			
Occupancy -	Commercial - Fabrication, service	and repair			
Configuration -	One story 35,700 sq ft				
Construction -	Concrete slab foundation. Steel fra	ming with steel siding and roof.			
Condition -	Good				
Other -	The building has 2 rolling door openings at either end. There are multiple non-engineered vents in the building walls. The building owner is gradually flood proofing the building. The electric transformer is at BFE. The interior electric needs elevating. The owner has an evac plan to get portable equipment into a shipping container and elevate with existing overhead crane.				
	Site Visit (	hearing			
General: The large structural steel fran	e site features two double wide mo ne. The fabrication building measu	dular office modular buildings on an elevated res 357ft X 100ft X 50ft high.			
Site: The fabrication building is situated on an industrial port site and free standing on the property. The area around the structure is limestone. The grade at the front of the structure slopes slightly downward toward the access road. The grade at the sides of the structure slopes down from the building. The grade at the rear slopes down away from the structure.					
Structure: The bu coverages required BFE +1FT. Some r	<b>Structure:</b> The building needs an engineered flood vent retro fit to be compliant with minimum square ft coverages required by FEMA/NFIP to be wet flood proofed. The administration office spaces are elevated to BFE +1FT. Some minor repairs are needed to the exterior steel siding.				
Systems/Utilities: the building needs	The site power transformer is elev to be elevated. Sanitary waste line	ated to BFE+1ft. The power distribution system inside needs back flow preventer.			

	OCCUPI	ED STRUCTU	JRE DATA S	HEET (CON	TINUED)	
Structure ID #5	Structure Address 3705 Earl B Wilson Dr, New Iberia, LA					
FF	LAG	Structure	and Flood E BFE	levations ∆ BFE-FF	Δ BFE-LAG	Δ BFE-B
5.9 ft	5ft	n/a	AE-11	6ft	7ft	n/a
ABBREVIA B - J	TIONS: Fl - Basement BFE – Bas	F – First Floor Floor Elevati e Flood Eleva NA – Not A	Elevation; L. ion; CS – Cravition; $\Delta$ – Delt Applicable; *	AG – Low Ad wl Space Grou a (Elevation D - Estimated	jacent Grade E ind Elevation; Difference);	Elevation;
			Flood Risk			
Flood Risk: The The structure's c substantial dama damage due to le	e first floor construction ge in a floo etting the w	is approxima n, finishes, sy od event. If w vater in and ou	tely 6ft feet be stems, utilities et flood proof at of the interi	elow the base s, storage and ed, the buildin or.	flood elevation contents woul ng would be su	n (BFE). d incur ıbject to less
		Re	ecommendatio	on		
Based on the stru the flood risk, the 1. Relocate 2. Elevate the 3. Remove resistant of 4. Wet flood engineere 5. Plan for of when add 6. Evacuate	the buildin the buildin he exterior water dan construction od proof t evacuation equate wan e the structu	acteristics, site g mitigations and HVAC equip nagable constant on and fininsh the structure ents in the exist of moveable ning is given. are during a fl	e visit observa are recomment vstems to upper oment onto pla ruction mater es. according to sting walls. equipment ar ood event to p	ntions, structur aded: er level above ntform(s), above ial and finish o FEMA Tec nd structure co prevent loss of	the DFE if app ve the BFE. es and replace chnical Bullet ontents prior to f life.	tion data and plicable. e with water in 7. Install o flood event
<ol> <li><u>Notes:</u></li> <li>The property past flood ev contents. Int construction</li> <li>Loose equips event, being</li> <li><u>Note:</u> The build ordinance require</li> </ol>	y owner invents. When terior finis materials ment, cont lost or cau ing qualifi res a varian	dicated the stand a flood warn and materials and de- sing environmes as "function ce be provide	ructure experi- ning is given to need to be r bris on the si- nental hazard. nally depende d for this work	ienced floodir the property o replaced with ite will easily ent" under CF k.	ng on the first wner elevates approved flo float away du R 59.1. FEMA	floor during the structure ood resistant uring a flood A/NFIP local

	OCCUPIED STRUCTURE ASSESSMENT SHEET		
Structure ID	ID Structure Address		
#6	3415 Earl B Wilson Dr. New Iberia, LA		
	Structure P	hotographs	
	"Main" shop	"Spool" shop	
	Structure Ch	aracteristics	
Characteristic		Description	
Occupancy -	Fabrication.		
Configuration -	The main shop building measure	es 280' X 250' = 70,000 sq ft and 50' high.	
	Concrete slab foundation. Steel i	interior frame, steel siding and roof.	
Construction -	Good.	_	
Condition - Other - The main 2 buildings are sited above the level of the access road. There are multiple door openings in the sides of the building. There are non-engineered air vents in the walls. The site has multiple exterior power stations for overflow welding. There is an autoclave oven on grade that needs mitigating along with a small building power distribution that needs elevating. The site needs an elevated platform with ramp to park the crawler crane and two large fork lifts.			
	Site Visit O	bservations	
General: The site	is relatively flat. There is a slip or	n the north end of the site.	
<b>Site:</b> Industrial port site. The 2 main structures are situated on an industrial port site and free standing on the property. All but two of the office space modular buildings are at BFE+1ft. The grade at the front of the structure slopes slightly downward toward the access road. The grade at the sides of the structures slopes down from the building. The grade at the front and rear slopes down away from the structures.			

Structure: The building has existing non engineered air vents and needs engineered flood vents in order to be wet flood proofed.

Systems/Utilities: Air conditioners for the upper level offices need to be elevated on stands to the DFE. All site electric utilities need to be elevated to BFE+1ft.

00	CCUPIED STRUCT	URE DATA S	HEET (CON	TINUED)	
Structure ID	Structure Address				
#6	3415	Earl B Wilson	i Dr, New Iber	na, LA	
	Structure	e and Flood E	levations		A DEE D
6ft 5t	ft n/a	AE-11	<u>ABFE-FF</u> 5ft	6ft	∆BFE-B n/a
ABBREVIATIO B – Ba BFF	DNS: FF – First Floo asement Floor Elevat E – Base Flood Eleva NA – Not	r Elevation; La ion; CS – Crav ation; $\Delta$ – Delta Applicable; *	AG – Low Ad vl Space Grou a (Elevation D - Estimated	jacent Grade I ind Elevation; Difference);	Elevation;
		Flood Risk			
Flood Risk: The fir Structure's construc finished floor level (	rst floor is approxima ction, finishes, systen (below the BFE) woo	ntely 5 feet bel ns, utilities, sto uld incur subst	ow the base fl prage and cont antial damage	ood elevation tents/furnishin e.	(BFE). gs at the
	R	ecommendatio	on		
<ol> <li>Based on the structure characteristics, site visit observations, structure / flood elevation data and the flood risk, the following mitigations are recommended:         <ol> <li>Relocate the building utilities / systems / storage to upper level above BFE if applicable.</li> <li>Remove water damagable construction material and finishes and replace with water resistant construction and fininshes.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Wet flood proof the structure according to FEMA Technical Bulletin 7. Install engineered flood vents in the existing walls.</li> <li>Plan for evacuation of moveable equipment and structure contents prior to flood event</li> </ol>					
when adequa 5. Evacuate the	ate warning is given. e structure during a fl	lood event to p	prevent loss of	f life.	
<ul> <li>Notes:</li> <li>6. The property past flood e structure con resistant con</li> <li>7. Loose equips event, being</li> <li>Note: The building ordinance requires a</li> </ul>	y owner indicated the events. When a floo intents. Interior finish istruction materials ment, containers and glost or causing envir qualifies as "function a variance be provide	e structure expe od warning is h materials ne l debris on the ronmental haza onally depende ed for this worl	erienced flood given the pro- eed to be repl site will easily ard. ent" under CF k.	ing on the firs operty owner laced with ap y float away d R 59.1. FEM	t floor during elevates the proved flood uring a flood A/NFIP local

#### 2.3 APPLICATION – EXPLORATORY MITIGATION STRATEGIES

The application of wet floodproofing was discussed between USACE, ASFPM, and the Flood Mitigation Industry Association. The following list includes all of the risk reduction options analyzed and are considered common for commercial occupancy type prior to settling on a template used for cost estimating purposes.

1. Risk Reduction of the Structural Envelope (walls)

The purpose of these methods is to reduce damages to structural wall during an event. FEMA design requirements discuss the priority for equalizing hydrostatic forces through appropriate number of vents within a given structure. Table L:2-1 lists wet floodproofing methods for structural stability considered, status of method, and rationale for screening (if applicable). Features retained in the Table L:2-1 are included in the federal Recommended Plan.

Wet-Floodproofing Method	Method Status	Screening Rationale
Exterior cladding of the structure to be non-porous and resistant to chemical corrosion and debris deposits, and be conductive to easy cleaning	Method Screened	Method was screened because review of structures through NSI database, coordination with the Port, and site visits determined existing conditions of structures is already exterior cladding that is non-porous
If required, replace steel with galvanized or protected material with rust and corrosion retardant paint	Method included in cost estimate	Potential minor replacement needed on existing structures. This method was determined to be effective at reducing damages on the exterior of structures.
Sandblast interior walls and support beams to remove coatings and rust and replace with rust and corrosion retardant paint	Method included in cost estimate	Interior of structures do not have corrosion and rust retardant paint up to 12 feet. This method was determined to be effective at reducing damages on the interior of the structure.
Demo existing sheetrock, batt insulation, and electrical outlets to be replaced by rigid foam wall insulation, hardy dry board, and elevated electrical	Method included in cost estimate	Interior of structures do not have corrosion and rust retardant paint up to 12 feet. This method was determined to be effective at reducing damages on

Table L:2-1. Screening of Wet Floodproofing Methods- Structural

outlets. Seal concrete floor with sealer or stain.	the interior of the structure.

2. Risk Reduction of Contents

#### The following information is not contained in the federal recommended plan but provided as a service to other state and local entities to inform any additional actions they may take to further reduce damages associated with flooding events.

The purpose of these methods is to reduce damages to contents. FEMA generally recognizes two overarching methods for contents damage reduction, 1- In-Place Protection or Isolation of contents from Floodwaters, both options were assessed during formulation. Table L:2-2 lists wet floodproofing methods for contents protection considered, status of method, and rationale for screening (if applicable).

Wet-Floodproofing Method	Method Status	Screening Rationale
Install barriers and flood walls on the interior of a structure to protect immobile high value contents	Method Screened	Coordination with Port officials and site visits determined that this method was largely ineffective within the study area due to contents being mobile and of only moderate value. This method would be rational for warehouses with high value immobile machinery such as robotic arms, large lasers, or CNC machines.
Install hoists, cranes, pedestals, or overhead suspension to temporarily elevate contents	Method retained for evaluation; Method not included in the Recommended NED Plan	Method would allow for quick (~1 day) preparation time and protect mobile equipment such as welders, forklifts, and other contents. Method is being utilized at port facility and is effective at reducing damages and ensuring continuity of operations following an event.
Install a stage or platform on the interior of the structure	Method Screened	Coordination with Port officials and site visits determined that this method was largely ineffective within the study area due as platform would need to be non-porous material and stable. Measure was determined to be more costly when compared to modular storage racks.
Lay down plastic sheeting below the contents, then wrap and tie the sheeting around	Method Screened	Coordination with Port officials and site visits determined that this method was largely

Table L:2-2. Screening of Wet Floodproofing Methods- Contents

contents during the flood event		ineffective within the study area due as installation of plastic would need to occur prior to an event and ensure no ripping. Risk of heavy equipment tearing and rendering method ineffective is high.
Using modular palletized storage racks to elevate mobile contents	Method retained for evaluation; Method not included in the Recommended NED Plan	Installation of modular racks was the least cost effective measure to reduce damages to contents and allow for customization to building layout. Modular palletized storage racks can be combined with other content risk reduction measures.

#### 3. Risk Reduction of Utilities

The purpose of these methods is to reduce damages to existing utilities above the design grade BFE. Table L:2-3 lists wet floodproofing methods for utility damage reduction considered, status of method, and rationale for screening (if applicable). Features retained in the Table L:2-1 are included in the federal Recommended Plan.

Table L:2-3.	Screening	of Wet Flood	proofing	Methods -	Utilities
	J				

Wet-Floodproofing Method	Method Status	Screening Rationale
Conversion to tank-less water heaters	Method Screened,	Coordination with Port officials and site visits determined that this method was largely ineffective within the study area.
Elevate electric service	Method included in cost estimate;	Method would elevate existing services to above 12 feet.
Elevate HVAC condenser units	Method included in cost estimate	Method would elevate existing services to above 12 feet. HVAC condenser units are essential to dry out interior post event.
Elevate fuel systems (propane tanks)	Method Screened	Coordination with Port officials and site visits determined that this method was largely ineffective within the study area.
Elevate sewage management system	Method Screened	Coordination with Port officials and site visits determined that this method was largely ineffective within the study area.
Elevate potable water system and sump pump	Method Screened	Coordination with Port officials and site visits determined that this method was largely ineffective within the study area.

4. Conveying Flood Waters through the Structure

The purpose of this method is to allow floodwaters to enter enclosed area through vents. The water level inside the home rises and falls at roughly the same rate as the water level outside so the hydrostatic pressure equalizes. Table L:2-4 lists wet floodproofing methods for flood water conveyance considered, status of method, and rationale for screening (if applicable).

Table L:2-4. Screening of Wet Floodproofing Methods- Flood water conveyance

Wet-Floodproofing Method	Method Status	Screening Rationale
Flood vents or doors installed to reduce hydrostatic pressures	Method included in cost estimate	Flood vents were included in the cost estimate. Costs and number of vents per structure were based on NFIP Technical Bulletin #7 for wet floodproofing of structures.

5. Risk Reduction of Interior Office Operations

The following information is not contained in the federal recommended plan but provided as a service to other state and local entities to inform any additional actions they may take to further reduce damages associated with flooding events.

The purpose of these methods is to reduce damages to interior office locations and operations post and event. Table L:2-5 lists wet floodproofing methods for interior office operations damage reduction and continuity of operations considered, status of method, and rationale for screening (if applicable).

Wet-Floodproofing Method	Method Status	Screening Rationale
Elevate office within the interior footprint of the building	Method Screened	Uncertainty in story height of warehouse structures within the study area and if elevating an office within the warehouse would be feasible.
Construct elevated steel modular building exterior to the building footprint	Method retained for evaluation; Method not included in the Recommended NED Plan	Coordination with Port officials and site visits determined this method of floodproofing office space already existed in the study area and could be applied

Table L:2-5. Screening of Wet Floodproofing Methods- Interior Office

to other warehouse
structures assuming
available space exists on
the parcel. All newly
constructed office buildings
will be elevated consistent
with local floodplain
ordinances (BFE + X Feet)

#### 2.4 APPLICATION – SITE SPECIFIC MITIGATION STRATEGIES

The USACE refined the wet floodproofing mitigation methods based on the types of structures and its associated operations that were surveyed within the Port of Iberia. Following screening of mitigation methods, structure were sorted into two types: general purpose warehouse structures and fabrication warehouses. The only significance difference as it relates to scope and cost of the cost estimate is that fabrication buildings require significantly more work to elevate as they tend to require large scale hoists and associated utilities as indicated in Figure L:2-1.



Figure L:2-1. Example of Significant Electrical Infrastructure Requiring Elevation

The following wet floodproofing methods were determined feasible and were used to develop cost estimates during feasibility level of design on Alternative 1:

- 1. Risk Reduction of the Structural Envelope
- Replace exterior steel with galvanized or protected material with rust and corrosion retardant paint
- Sandblast interior walls and support beams to remove coatings and rust and replace with rust and corrosion retardant paint
- Demo the first 4-6 feet of existing sheetrock, batt insulation, and electrical outlets to be replaced by rigid foam wall insulation, hardy dry board, and elevated electrical outlets. Seal concrete floor with sealer or stain.
- 2. Risk Reduction of Contents
- Rehab floor of structure to install a 10-ton crane with supporting scaffolding
- Install modular palletized storage racks to elevate mobile contents
- 3. Risk Reduction of Utilities
- Elevate electric service
- Elevate HVAC condenser units
- 4. Conveying Flood Waters through the Structure
- Flood vents installed to reduce hydrostatic pressures
- 5. Risk Reduction of Interior Office Operations
- Construct elevated steel modular building exterior to the building footprint

Cost estimates were based on surveyed structures. The wet floodproofing mitigation methods were selected as a comprehensive strategy based on availability of contract cost estimations and overall effectiveness of the flood mitigation, as the motivation of the effort was to maximize the level of risk reduction for warehouse structures.

The strategy includes sand blasting older exterior/interior coatings to remove corrosion and rust and applying two coats of new epoxy paint. Existing sheetrock, batt insulation, and electrical outlets would be removed to install rigid foam wall insulation, hardy dry board and elevate electrical outlets above 4-6 feet. The floor would be treated with a sealer or stain. Engineered flood vents would be installed around the perimeter of the building.

Portable equipment that will not be evacuated during a storm event was assumed to be either stored on elevated modular palletized storage racks, typically used by forklifts, or packed into a steel shipping container and lifted by a 10-ton crane to at least 6 feet above the interior flood elevation. The crane installation would be a standalone rigging with new footings installed with six steel legs per cane. The scope assumed that not all warehouse structures would have the vertical capacity to accommodate the elevation of an office building and therefore it was assumed a 500 square foot modular steel office building would have to be constructed and elevated above the BFE, located outside the structure's footprint. Content protection measures cost and benefits are not contained in the federal recommended plan but provided to as a service to other state and local entities to inform any additional actions they may take to further reduce damages associated with flooding events.

The wet floodproofing mitigation methods were determined by the USACE to provide flood risk reduction to warehouse structures of up to 12 feet for the structural envelope, and 6 feet for the structural contents.

#### 2.5 APPLICATION – COST ESTIMATE

All cost estimates were developed by the Flood Mitigation Industry Association in partnership with USACE and local contractors that would be expected to bid on wet floodproofing solicitations. All cost estimates are presented in FY2021 dollars, reflective of cost in the Louisiana region, and exclude S&A, planning, engineering and design, construction management, and contingency costs. S&A, planning, engineering and design, construction management, and contingency costs were intentionally excluded to develop a unit cost per wet floodproofing method type. (S&A, planning, engineering and design, construction management, and contingency costs were then calculated on the aggregated total cost of all floodproofing activities). While the costs are presented for warehouse structures between 2.500 and 300.000 square feet, they were originally scoped for 18.000 square foot warehouses, and therefore the uncertainty surrounding the cost estimates will increase the higher the square footage of the warehouse. Table L:2-6 shows costs associated with each dry floodproofing mitigation option. Table L:2-7 shows a summary of costs by square foot for general warehouse structures. Table L:2-8 shows a summary of costs by square foot for fabrication warehouse structures with more advanced electrical mitigation efforts required. Table L:2-9 shows a summary of the costs for commercial and fabrication warehouses.

Reference Structure	
Building Square Footage (SF)	18,043
Perimeter Linear Foot (LF)	537
ltem	Cost (\$)
Wet Floodproofing (\$35/LF)	18,805
*10-Ton Crane (\$496k/building)	496,000
*Storage Racks (100 LF of racks @ \$232/LF)	23,200
Paint Coatings (\$20/LF)	10,746
*Elevated Office (\$100/SF Building +\$71/SF Elevation)	85,500
Elevated Electrical for Warehouse	187,508
Elevated Electrical for Fabrication	288,708
Flood Vents (\$2/SF)	36,086

Table L:2-6. Itemized Wet Floodproofing Cost Estimate

Total Cost for Warehouse (\$/Building)	\$857,846
Total Cost for Fabrication (\$/Building)	\$959,046

\* Costs associated with content protection, not included in the federal Recommended Plan \* Itemized costs presented in the table are FY 21, see appendix M for updated itemized costs for methods included in the Recommended Plan. Table L:2-7. General Warehouse Wet Floodproofing Cost per Square Footage based onFY21 Cost Estimate

General Footage	Warehouse	Square	Cost (\$)
	2,500		732,825
	5,000		754,506
	7,500		775,128
	10,000		795,200
	15,000		834,394
	20,000		872,813
	250,000		2,527,200
	300,000		2,880,199

Table L:2-8.	Fabrication	Warehouse	Wet Floodproofing	Cost per	Square	Foot
FY21 Cost Estimate				-	-	

Fabrication Warehouse Square Footage	Cost (\$)
2,500	834,025
5,000	855,706
7,500	876,328
10,000	896,400
15,000	935,594
20,000	974,013
250,000	2,628,400
300,000	2,981,399

## Wet Floodproofing Contacts

#### 2.6 WET FLOODPROOFING CONTACTS

For inquiries and questions on the development of wet floodproofing costs and its application to planning studies, please contact:

#### U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)

Evan Stewart, CFM – Economist – Evan.M.Stewart@usace.army.mil Karla Sparks, PMP – Plan Formulator - Karla.K.Sparks@usace.army.mil Mike Danielson – Cost Engineer - Mike.R.Danielson@usace.army.mil Brian Johnson, PE – Civil Engineer - Brian.R.Johnson@usace.army.mil

Association of State Floodplain Management (ASFPM) Manny Perotin, PE, PMP, CFM – perotinma@cdmsmith.com Randy Behm, PE, CFM - floodfighter@g.com

Flood Mitigation Industry Association (FMIA) Rod Scott, CFM - roderick.scott75@aol.com Gerald Gesser - gerald@gessergroupapc.com





